UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/814,852	03/31/2004	Maurizio Fava	MGH-028AUS	5608	
22494 7590 12/05/2008 DALY, CROWLEY, MOFFORD & DURKEE, LLP SUITE 301A 354A TURNPIKE STREET			EXAMINER		
			SQUIRES, ELIZA A		
CANTON, MA 02021-2714			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				4156	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/05/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@dc-m.com amk@dc-m.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/814,852	FAVA ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Eliza Squires	4156				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) Eliza Squires.	(3) <u>Chrisopher Daly</u> .					
(2) <u>Charles Kyle</u> .	(4) <u>Stephen Underwood</u> .					
Date of Interview: <u>18 November 2008</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 14-16</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>n/a</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) \boxtimes was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The rejection under 112 second paragraph, pertaining to claim 15, and 101 rejections, pertaining to claims 1 and the dependants thereof, were discussed. Applicant recognized that claims 14-16 are objected to and may be allowable if written in independent form and curing the noted deficiencies under 101 and 112 second as well as fulfilling the 1.105 requirement. Applicant may ammend.</u>						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/Eliza Squiros/	(Charles P. Kula)					
/Eliza Squires/ Examiner, Art Unit 4156	/Charles R. Kyle/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art U	nit 4156				